arXiv:0810.5604v1 [math.DG] 31 Oct 2008

Q CURVATURE PRESCRIPTION; FORBIDDEN FUNCTIONS AND THE GJMS NULL SPACE

A. ROD GOVER

Abstract. On an even conformal manifold (M, c), such that the critical GJMS operator has non-trivial kernel, we identify and discuss the role of a finite dimensional vector space $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ of functions determined by the conformal structure. Using these we describe an infinite dimensional class of functions that cannot be the Q-curvature Q^g for any $g \in c$. If certain functions arise in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ then Q^g cannot be constant for any $g \in c$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Branson's Q-curvature Q^g is a natural scalar Riemannian invariant defined on even dimensional manifolds; it was introduced in the far sighted works [9, 5, 6] of Branson-Ørsted and Branson. Algorithms for obtaining Q^g, and explicit formulae in low dimensions, may be found in [20, 22]. The problem of conformally prescribing Q-curvature is that of determining, on a fixed even dimensional conformal structure (M^n, c), which functions may be the Q-curvature Q^g for some $g \in c$; in other words it is the problem of characterising the range of the map

$$Q : c \to C^\infty(M) \quad \text{given by} \quad g \mapsto Q^g \ . \tag{1}$$

This has drawn considerable attention recently (e.g. [2, 3, 12, 15, 26]), and especially the uniformisation type problem of whether one may find a metric in c with Q^g constant, see for example [13, 14, 16] and references therein.

Throughout we shall work on an even closed (that is, compact without boundary) conformal manifold (M, c). For simplicity of exposition we shall assume that this is connected. All structures will be taken smooth and here c is a Riemannian conformal class. That is it is an equivalence class of Riemannian metrics where $g \sim \widehat{g}$ means that $\widehat{g} = e^{2\omega} g$ for some $\omega \in C^\infty(M)$. For metrics related in this way, their Q-curvatures are related by

$$Q^{\widehat{g}} = e^{-n\omega}\big(Q^g + P^g\omega\big), \tag{2}$$

where the linear differential operator $P^g : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$ is the *critical* (meaning dimension order) *GJMS operator* of [21]. In dimension 4 the operator is due to Paneitz, while in dimension 2 P^g it is simply the Laplacian. In all (even) dimensions this operator takes the form $P^g = \Delta^{n/2} + \textit{lower order terms}$ where Δ indicates the Laplacian. More important for our current purposes is that it is

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A30; Secondary 35J60, 53A55.

Key words and phrases. Q-curvature, curvature prescription, conformal differential geometry.

formally self-adjoint [23] and may be expressed as a composition

$$P^g = \delta M d, \tag{3}$$

where δ the formal adjoint of the exterior derivative d, and M is some other linear differential operator (from 1-form fields to 1-form fields). Note also that P^g is conformally invariant in the sense that if g and $\widehat{g}$ are conformally related, as above, then $P^{\widehat{g}} = e^{-n\omega}P^g$; in fact this is a necessary consequence of the Q-curvature transformation law (2). Given a linear operator Op, we shall generally write $\mathcal{N}(Op)$ for its null space. From the conformal transformation law for P^g, it follows that its null space $\mathcal{N}(P^g)$ is conformally stable. That is for any pair of metrics g, $\widehat{g}$ in the conformal class c we have $\mathcal{N}(P^g) = \mathcal{N}(P^{\widehat{g}})$. For this reason we shall usually simply write $\mathcal{N}(P)$ for this space.

For the exterior derivative on functions, $\mathcal{N}(d)$ is the space of constant functions. Clearly (3) implies $\mathcal{N}(d) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(P)$. Much of the previous work on the prescription equation (2) has assumed, or restricted to settings where, the operator P has *trivial kernel*, meaning $\mathcal{N}(d) = \mathcal{N}(P^g)$. In dimension $n = 2$, Q^g is (a constant multiple of) the Gauss curvature and P^d is the Laplacian. Thus in this case P^g has trivial kernel on closed manifolds. However in higher dimensions the operator P^g can have non-trivial kernel. For the Paneitz operator, in dimension 4, explicit examples are due to Eastwood and Singer [17]; see also [18]. (Each of these is a conformally flat product of a sphere with a Riemann surface and admits a metric g with Q^g constant but not zero.) Thus here we restrict to $n \geq 4$ and make some first steps to study the consequences of the GJMS operator P^g having non-trivial kernel. The first such is the existence of a class of forbidden functions, that is functions that cannot be in the range of Q (in (1)), as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *On a closed connected conformal manifold (M, c) with $\mathcal{N}(P) \neq \mathcal{N}(d)$, there are non-zero functions $u \in \mathcal{N}(P)$ such that for no pair $(g \in c, \alpha \in \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \neq 0$, we have $Q^g = \alpha u$. If $\int_M Q$ is not zero or if $\dim \mathcal{N}(P) \geq 3$ then there are such functions u which are non-constant. In any case there is a space of functions $\mathcal{F}$, with infinite dimensional linear span, such that if $f \in \mathcal{F}$ then for no pair $(g \in c, \alpha \in \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \neq 0$, we have $Q^g = \alpha f$.*

Most of the Theorem is established in Section 2. Lemma 2.2 identifies a conformally determined linear space of functions (denoted $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$) as forbidden functions in $\mathcal{N}(P)$. These functions yield more general constraints on the range of Q, as described in Propositions 2.3 and 2.4. Section 3 explores the space $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ and its relation to $\mathcal{N}(P)$. Proposition 3.1 shows that the span of this subspace of $\mathcal{N}(P)$ is a certain "orthogonal complement" to the range of Q in (1). Proposition 3.3 gives a strengthening of Theorem 1.1 for the cases where $\int Q$ is non-zero. Theorem 3.4 shows that, when $\int Q$ is not zero, $\mathcal{N}(P)$ admits a conformally invariant direct sum decomposition into the space of constant functions versus the functions suitably orthogonal to Q. As explained there, one reason this is interesting is because, although this decomposition is conformally invariant, in the case that there is a metric g with Q^g constant it recovers the Hodge decomposition of $\mathcal{N}(P)$. The main remaining result in Section 3 is Theorem 3.5. In the cases $\int Q \neq 0$ this shows that certain functions in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ (if they arise) obstruct the prescription of constant Q-curvature. This and the (more obvious) analogous result for $\int Q = 0$ lead to two interesting open questions which are posed.

In Section 4.1 we explain the link between the results here and the so-called conformal harmonics of [10]. Finally in Section 4.2 we point out that almost all results in the article will extend to the prescription problem for natural invariants in the so-called linear Q-space. The latter are invariants which transform conformally suitably like the Q-curvature.

On the sphere forbidden functions for Q-prescription have been identified by Delanoë and Robert [15] (and in fact those authors consider a broader class of invariants than what we here call Q-curvature). These functions violate symmetry related Kazdan-Warner type identities necessarily satisfied by Q^g; these identities generalise those known for the scalar curvature [24, 4]. The functions concerned are obviously not in $\mathcal{N}(P)$ and this is essentially a different phenomenon to that discussed here.

Some issues related to studying Q-prescription when P^g has non-trivial kernel were touched on in [25]. The current work was partly motivated by the questions suggested there and by discussions with the author of that work, Andrea Malchiodi. Conversations with Michael Eastwood are also much appreciated.

Acknowledgements. The basic idea for this work arose during the conference "PDE In Conformal and Kähler Geometry", University of Science & Technology of China, July 2008 and also the New Zealand Institute of Mathematics and Its Applications (NZIMA) thematic programme "Conformal Geometry and its Applications" hosted by the Department of Mathematics of the University of Auckland in 2008. The article was written during the programme "Geometric Partial Differential Equations" at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton. The author is grateful for the stimulation and support of these programmes and institutions. ARG is also supported by Marsden Grant no. 06-UOA-029

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We recall from [7] (updated as [8]) the following observation.

Proposition 2.1. *For $u \in \mathcal{N}(P)$,*

$$\int_M u Q^g \, \mu_g$$

is conformally invariant.

Here we write μ_g for the canonical measure determined by the metric. For our current purposes it is useful to review the proof of the Proposition.

Proof: Recall that if $\widehat{g} = e^{2\omega} g$, $\omega \in C^\infty(M)$, then we have the Q-curvature transformation (2). Since the measure μ_g transforms conformally according to

$$\mu_{\widehat{g}} = e^{n\omega} \mu_g$$

we have

$$\int_M uQ^{\widehat{g}}\ \mu_{\widehat{g}} = \int_M ue^{-n\omega}\big(Q^g + P^g\omega\big)e^{n\omega}\ \mu_g = \int_M uQ^g\ \mu_g + \int_M u(P^g\omega)\ \mu_g\ .$$

But P^g is formally self-adjoint [23] and so the second term drops out. $\square$

Let us write $\mathcal{Q}$ for the linear operator $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{N}(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$u \mapsto \int_M Q^g u\ \mu_g,$$

and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ for its kernel. Proposition 2.1 may be viewed as the statement that $\mathcal{Q}$ is conformally invariant.

Lemma 2.2. *If* $0 \neq u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ *then there is no* $g \in c$ *with* $Q^g = u$.

Proof: Given $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$, suppose that for some $g' \in c$ we have $Q^{g'} = u$. Then $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ implies that

$$0 = \int_M uQ^g\mu_g.$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{N}(P)$, the right hand side is conformally invariant. So we may calculate in the metric g', whence

$$0 = \int_M uQ^{g'}\mu_{g'} = \int_M |u|^2\mu_{g'}$$

and so $u = 0$. $\square$

Proof of the first statement in Theorem 1.1: Since $\mathcal{N}(d) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(P)$, and $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{N}(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a linear map it follows that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ has codimension at most one. Thus if $\mathcal{N}(d) \neq \mathcal{N}(P)$ then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \neq \{0\}$. So the first statement follows from the previous Lemma. $\square$

So the non-zero elements of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ are forbidden functions. In fact we should really view $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{0\}$ (and more generally $\mathcal{N}(P) \setminus \{0\}$) as a space of constraints on the range of Q as follows. If $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is in the range of Q then it must be that there is $g \in c$ with $\int_M fu\mu_g = 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{0\}$. For $g \in c$, let us write $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})^{\perp_g}$ for the orthogonal complement in $C^\infty(M)$ of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ with respect to the usual L^2 inner product on (M, g). Let us make the definitions

$$\mathcal{F}^g := \{f \in C^\infty(M)\ :\ f \notin \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})^{\perp_g}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F} := \cap_{g \in c}\mathcal{F}^g.$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ and an obvious adaption of the proof of Lemma 2.2 we have the following.

Proposition 2.3. *If* $f \in \mathcal{F}$ *then for no pair* $(g \in c, \alpha \in \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \neq 0$, *we have* $Q^g = \alpha f$.

From the proof of Lemma 2.2 we also have that

$$\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{0\}. \tag{4}$$

The last statement of Theorem 1.1 uses the following.

Proposition 2.4. *If $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ contains non-constant functions then the containment in (4) is proper and the span of $\mathcal{F}$ is infinite dimensional.*

Proof: Observe that if $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ then, for example, $u^p \in \mathcal{F}$ for p an odd positive integer. If u is non-constant then there is no linear relation among the u^p as p ranges over odd positive integers. On the other hand $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(P)$ and $\mathcal{N}(P)$ is finite dimensional since P is elliptic and M is compact. $\square$
In the spirit of the proof here, note that if $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is any function with the same strict sign as the identity then, for any $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{0\}$, $f(u)$ is in $\mathcal{F}$.

So to complete the proof of the Theorem, the main remaining task is to show when $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ necessarily contains non-constant functions. Although various cases are easily settled, it is worthwhile to first look at the structure of $\mathcal{N}(P)$.

3. THE STRUCTURE AND ROLE OF $\mathcal{N}(P)$ AND $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$

Given a metric g on M, let us write $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^g : C^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ for the map

$$f \mapsto \int_M f Q^g \, \mu_g .$$

(So $\mathcal{Q}$ agrees with the restriction of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^g$ to $\mathcal{N}(P)$.) We have the following interpretation of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$.

Proposition 3.1. *Given a conformal class c on a closed manifold M we have*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \cap_{g \in c} \mathcal{N}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^g). \tag{5}$$

Proof: $\subseteq$: From the definitions of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^g$ and $\mathcal{Q}$, and the conformal invariance of the latter, it is immediate that for every $g \in c$ we have $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^g)$.

$\supseteq$: Since P^g is formally self-adjoint, given $u \in C^\infty(M)$, it follows easily from (2) that if

$$\int_M u Q^g \, \mu_g = \int_M u Q^{\widehat{g}} \, \mu_{\widehat{g}} \quad \text{for all} \quad (g, \widehat{g}) \in c \times c,$$

then $u \in \mathcal{N}(P)$. If $u \in \cap_{g \in c} \mathcal{N}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^g)$ then we have the situation of the display, and also that $\int_M u Q^g \mu_g = 0$. So $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$. $\square$

Remark: Note that, since the L^2 inner product is definite, (excepting 0) the right hand side of (5) consists of functions which are manifestly not in the range of Q. Thus the Proposition above gives an alternative proof of Lemma 2.2.

Here we are regarding Q as function valued. However we might also consider Q as taking values in conformal densities of weight $-n$, as in [10]. As discussed there, there is a conformally invariant pairing $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ between functions and such densities. In terms of this $\langle \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}), \ \rangle$ is the annihilator of the range of the map (1) and this characterises the space $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$. ▮

For $q \in \mathbb{R}$ we use, as usual, the same notation for the constant function on M (which, recall, we assume connected) with value q. Since, $P_k 1 = 0$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that, in particular,

$$k_Q := \int_M Q^g \, \mu_g$$

is a global conformal invariant. Of course this was known well before Proposition 2.1 from [9, 5]. This gives immediate restrictions on the range of Q^g. Let us write $\mathcal{E}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_-$) for the space of functions $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that f is pointwise non-negative (respectively non-positive) but not identically zero. We will write $\mathcal{E}_\pm$ for the union of these spaces. If $k_Q = 0$ then we have the well known result that any $f \in \mathcal{E}_\pm$ is not in the range of Q. In fact this is an example of $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \big(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{0\}\big)$: when $k_Q = 0$ we have $1 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ and for no metric $g \in c$ is f orthogonal to 1. Let us record then that in this case, without assuming the containment $\mathcal{N}(P) \supseteq \mathcal{N}(d)$ is proper, we have the following.

Proposition 3.2. *If $k_Q = 0$ then $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{E}_\pm$. In particular $\mathcal{F}$ spans an infinite dimensional vector space.*

Thus the last statement of the Theorem 1.1 has no new information in the case of $k_Q = 0$.

When $k_Q \neq 0$ then we still obtain an obvious (and well known) constraint from $1 \in \mathcal{N}(P)$, but this of a slightly different nature since the non-zero constants are not in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$. Combining this with the observations of Section 2 we have the following.

Proposition 3.3. *If $k_Q > 0$ (alternatively $k_Q < 0$) then the functions in $\mathcal{E}_- \cup \mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_+ \cup \mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$) are not in the range of Q.*

Next we exhibit a decomposition of $\mathcal{N}(P)$ which establishes the second statement of Theorem 1.1 for the case that $k_Q \neq 0$; it shows that in this case the forbidden functions of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ are necessarily non-constant. But it gives more than this and is of independent interest.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that $k_Q \neq 0$. Then we have a conformally invariant direct decomposition*

$$\mathcal{N}(P) = \mathcal{N}(d) \oplus \mathcal{N}\big(\mathcal{Q}\big) \ . \tag{6}$$

Warning: The decomposition of the Theorem is not necessarily orthogonal for any metric $g \in c$.

Proof of the Theorem: $\mathcal{N}(P)$ and $\mathcal{N}(d)$ are conformally invariant linear subspaces of $C^\infty(M)$. The vector space inclusion $\mathcal{N}(d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(P)$ is split by the conformally invariant map

$$\mathcal{N}(P) \ni u \mapsto u_0 := \frac{1}{k_Q}\mathcal{Q}(u).$$

(So explicitly (6) is given by $u = u_0 + (u - u_0)$ for $u \in \mathcal{N}(P)$.) □

Remark: Note that, for $\mathcal{N}(P)$, (6) is a conformal version of the Hodge decomposition. We mean this as follows.

Suppose that there is $g \in c$ with $Q^g = q$ constant. Since we assume $k_Q \neq 0$, it follows that $q \neq 0$. By the Hodge decomposition on (M, g), for any $u \in C^\infty(M)$ we have

$$u = \overline{u} + u_1 \tag{7}$$

where $\overline{u}$ is a constant function and u_1 is a divergence. In particular we may apply this decomposition to $u \in \mathcal{N}(P)$. We have $\overline{u} \in \mathcal{N}(d)$, $u_1 \in \mathcal{N}(P)$ and

$$\int_M u_1 Q^g \mu_g = q \int_M u_1 \mu_g = 0.$$

So $u_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$. On the other hand

$$u_0 := \frac{1}{k_Q} \int_M u Q^g \ \mu_g = \frac{q}{k_Q} \int_M (\overline{u} + u_1) \ \mu_g = \frac{\overline{u}}{k_Q} \int_M q \ \mu_g = \overline{u}.$$

So also we have $u_1 = u - u_0$.

This shows that on (M, g) the Hodge decomposition (7), of $\mathcal{N}(P)$, agrees with (6). But the latter is conformally invariant and so gives a conformally invariant and canonical route to the Hodge decomposition of $\mathcal{N}(P)$ with respect to the metric g that has Q^g constant.

In general we do not know there is a metric g that makes Q^g constant; there is no preferred metric to exploit for a Hodge decomposition. Nevertheless we always have the conformal decomposition (6). ▮

These observations suggest the following problem. Recall $\mathcal{E}_\pm$ is the space of non-zero functions which are either non-negative or non-positive.

Question 1: Suppose that $k_Q \neq 0$. Can the finite dimensional vector space $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ intersect non-trivially with $\mathcal{E}_\pm$?

This question is interesting because if there are such functions then they obstruct the prescription of constant Q-curvature. To simplify the statement, note that $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathcal{E}_-$ is equivalent to $-f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathcal{E}_+$.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume (M, c) is a closed conformal manifold with $k_Q \neq 0$. Suppose there is $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{0\}$ such that u is pointwise non-negative. Then $\nexists g \in c$ with Q^g either pointwise positive or pointwise negative. In particular there is no $g \in c$ with Q^g constant.*

Proof: From Proposition 2.1 $0 = \int_M u Q^g \mu_g$ is conformally invariant, but for no metric is u is orthogonal to to a strictly positive or strictly negative function. ☐

Note that if $k_Q = 0$ then $\mathcal{N}(d) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$. So we cannot hope to have the decomposition (6). On the other hand in this case there is the possibility that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{N}(P)$. There is a characterisation of this situation, as follows.

Proposition 3.6. *On a conformal manifold (M, c)*

$$\big(\ \mathcal{N}(P) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})\ \big) \Leftrightarrow \big(\ \exists g \in c \ s.t.\ Q^g = 0\ \big)$$

Proof: $\Leftarrow$: For all $u \in \mathcal{N}(P)$, since we may calculate the conformal invariant $\mathcal{Q}(u) = \int_M u Q^g \mu_g$ using the metric $g \in c$ satisfying $Q^g = 0$, it is clear that $\mathcal{Q}(u) = 0$.

$\Rightarrow$: Suppose we start from an arbitrary metric $g \in c$ and want to find $\widehat{g} \in c$ with $Q^{\widehat{g}} = 0$. The prescription equation (2) has the simple form

$$Q^g + P^g \omega = 0$$

and so, by standard Fredholm theory, is solvable if and only if Q^g is orthogonal $\mathcal{N}(P)$ i.e. if and only if $\mathcal{N}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$. $\square$

Note that Proposition 3.6 is simply a restatement of an observation of Malchiodi in [25, Section 3] and there the following question is suggested.

Question 2: Suppose that $k_Q = 0$. Can there be functions in $\mathcal{N}(P)$ which are not orthogonal to Q^g?

As for question 1, such functions obstruct the prescription of constant Q^g.

Remark and the proof of Theorem 1.1: Suppose that $k_Q = 0$ and $\dim \mathcal{N}(P) = 2$. Then either $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{N}(P)$, and 0 is in the range of Q but all other elements of $\mathcal{N}(P)$ are forbidden, or $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{N}(d)$ in which case we cannot solve for constant Q^g, but we cannot identify non-constant forbidden functions in $\mathcal{N}(P)$. On the other hand if $\dim \mathcal{N}(P) > 2$ then it is clear that always we get non-constant (and even mixed sign) functions in $\mathcal{N}(P)$ that are not in the range of Q (as claimed in Theorem 1.1). On the other hand when $k_Q \neq 0$ it is immediate from, for example, Theorem 3.4 that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus \{0\}$ consists of non-contant functions. ▌

4. OTHER LINKS

We sketch here links with some related directions.

4.1. **Conformal Harmonics.** As above the setting is an even conformal manifold (M^n, c). In [10] a space of so-called *conformal harmonics* $\mathcal{H}^k$ is defined for each $k = 1, \cdots n/2$ (see also [1]). This is a conformally stable subspace of k-forms that fits into an exact complex linking it to the k^{th} de Rham cohomology space H^k [10, Proposition 2.5]. For $k = 1$, and in our current notation, the complex is

$$0 \to \mathcal{N}(d) \to \mathcal{N}(P) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{H}^1 \to H^1 \tag{8}$$

where the map $\mathcal{N}(P) \to \mathcal{H}^1$ is just the restriction of d and $\mathcal{H}^1 \to H^1$ takes each 1-form in $\mathcal{H}^1$ to its class in H^1. It is not known if the last map is necessarily surjective; by [10, Theorem 2.6] it is if $\mathcal{N}(d) = \mathcal{N}(P)$ and then $\mathcal{H}^1 \cong H^1$ (this is termed strong 0-regularity).

Evidently the kernel of the map $\mathcal{H}^1 \to H^1$ measures the non-triviality of the null space of the critical GJMS operator P. If $k_Q \neq 0$ then Theorem 3.4 shows that the complex in the display may be simplified to

$$0 \to \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{H}^1 \to H^1.$$

As mentioned above, there is an analogue of the sequence (8) for for each $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n/2$. It turns out the ideas of section 3 can be adapted to give a generalisation of Theorem 3.4 which applies to all of these (and hence yields additional structure to the theory in [10]), although for $k \geq 2$ the situation is rather more subtle than the case here. This will be taken up elsewhere.

4.2. **Prescription problems for natural scalar invariants in the linear Q-space.** Constructions of natural scalar Riemannian invariants with conformal transformation properties similar to the Q-curvature have been described in [19, 20] and [11]. In Section 5 of the last reference two systematic constructions are given for such invariants K^g and in each case this has the property that for $g, \widehat{g} \in c$ we have (cf. (2))

$$\widehat{g} = e^{2\omega} g \quad \Rightarrow \quad K^{\widehat{g}} = e^{-n\omega}(K^g + L^g\omega),$$

$\omega \in C^\infty(M)$, where L^g is a formally self-adjoint linear differential operator (necessarily conformally invariant) of the form a composition

$$L^g = \delta\tilde{M}d,$$

where, recall, δ the formal adjoint of d and $\tilde{M}$ is some linear differential operator. Each such quantity K^g yields a variational prescription problem (the case of prescribing constant K^g is discussed in Section 7 of [11]) along the same lines as that for the Q-curvature.

It follows easily from these conformal transformation properties displayed that Theorem 1.1 and, apart from Proposition 3.6, essentially all the results from Section 2 above will hold if Q^g and P^g are replaced by, respectively K^g and L^g. For Proposition 3.6 we still have the implication $\Leftarrow$ if these replacements are made. The other adjustments are: for the analogue of Proposition 2.4 we do not necessarily have proper containment and this would affect the analogue of Theorem 1.1 in the obvious way; since L^g may not be elliptic, the space $\mathcal{N}(L^g)$ will not necessarily be finite dimensional.

Note that at one extreme we have the case that K^g is simply a natural conformal invariant (e.g. the Weyl curvature squared $||W||^2$ in dimension 4). Then L^g is the zero operator. In this case we trivially have $\mathcal{N}(L) = C^\infty(M)$ and the analogue of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{Q})$ is strictly smaller than $\mathcal{N}(L)$ and consists of all functions which are orthogonal (with respect to the L^2 inner product for g) to K^g for all $g \in c$.

References

[1] E. Aubry, and C. Guillarmou, *Conformal harmonic forms, Branson-Gover operators and Dirichlet problem at infinity.* Preprint arXiv:0808.0552

[2] P. Baird, A. Fardoun, and R. Regbaoui, *Q-curvature flow on 4-manifolds*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **27** (2006), 75–104.

[3] P. Baird, A. Fardoun, and R. Regbaoui, *Prescribed Q-curvature on manifolds of even dimension*, preprint.

[4] J.-P. Bourguignon, and J.-P. Ezin, *Scalar curvature functions in a conformal class of metrics and conformal transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), 723–736.

[5] T.P. Branson, The functional determinant, Lecture Notes Series, 4. Seoul National University, Research Institute of Mathematics, Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul, 1993, vi+103 pp.

[6] T.P. Branson, *Sharp inequalities, the functional determinant, and the complementary series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 3671–3742.

[7] T.P. Branson, and A.R. Gover, *Origins, applications and generalisations of the Q-curvature*, American Institute of Mathematics, (2003), http://www.aimath.org/pastworkshops/confstruct.html

[8] T.P. Branson, and A.R. Gover, *Origins, applications and generalisations of the Q-curvature*, Acta Appl. Math. **102** (2008), 131–146.

[9] T.P. Branson, and B. Ørsted, *Explicit functional determinants in four dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **113** (1991), 669–682.

[10] T.P. Branson, and A.R. Gover, *Conformally invariant operators, differential forms, cohomology and a generalisation of Q-curvature*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), 1611–1669.

[11] T. Branson, and A.R. Gover, *Pontrjagin forms and invariant objects related to the Q-curvature*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **9** (2007), 335–358.

[12] S. Brendle, *Convergence of the Q-curvature flow on* S^4, Adv. Math. **205** (2006), 1–32.

[13] S.-Y.A. Chang, M.J. Gursky, and P.C. Yang, *A conformally invariant sphere theorem in four dimensions*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 98 (2003), 105–143.

[14] S.-Y.A. Chang, and P.C. Yang, *Extremal metrics of zeta function determinants on 4-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **142** (1995), 171–212.

[15] P. Delanoë, and F. Robert, *On the local Nirenberg problem for the Q-curvatures*, Pacific J. Math., **231** (2007), 293–304.

[16] Z. Djadli, and A. Malchiodi, *Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2), to appear. Preprint arXiv:math/0410141

[17] M.G. Eastwood, and M.A. Singer, *The Fröhlicher spectral sequence on a twistor space*, J. Differential Geom. **38** (1993), 653–669.

[18] M.G. Eastwood, and J. Slovak, *A primer on Q-curvature*, American Institute of Mathematics, (2003),
http://www.aimath.org/pastworkshops/confstruct.html

[19] C. Fefferman, and K. Hirachi, *Ambient metric construction of Q-curvature in conformal and CR geometries* Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), 819–831.

[20] A.R. Gover, and L.J. Peterson, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, Q-curvature, and tractor calculus*, Comm. Math. Phys. **235** (2003), 339–378.

[21] C.R. Graham, R. Jenne, L.J. Mason, and G.A. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian I. Existence* J. London Math. Soc. (2) **46** (1992), 557–565.

[22] C.R. Graham, and K. Hirachi, *The ambient obstruction tensor and Q-curvature*, AdS/CFT correspondence: Einstein metrics and their conformal boundaries, 59–71, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., 8, Eur. Math. Soc., ZÃijrich, 2005.

[23] C.R. Graham, and M. Zworski, *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), 89–118.

[24] J.L. Kazdan, and F.W. Warner, *Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of Riemannian structure*, J. Differential Geometry **10** (1975), 113–134.

[25] A. Malchiodi, *Conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **3** (2007), Paper 120, 11 pp.

[26] A. Malchiodi, and M. Struwe, *Q-curvature flow on* S^4, J. Differential Geom. **73** (2006), 1–44.

E-mail address: `gover@math.auckland.ac.nz`

ARG: Department of Mathematics, The University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1, New Zealand
E-mail address: `gover@math.auckland.ac.nz`